

## Tobias Füchslin: What are you folding for? Nutzungsmotivationen von Citizen Science Online Games und ihre Lerneffekte.

Beitrag aus Heft »2016/06: Digitale Spiele«

Citizen Science Projekte verbreiten sich immer stärker im Internet und werden immer öfter als digitale Spiele aufbereitet. Die vorliegende Studie befragte 260 Spielerinnen und Spieler der Spiele Foldit, EteRNA und EyeWire bezüglich Nutzungsmotivationen und selbsteingeschätzter Lerneffekte der Spiele. Es zeigte sich, dass die Spiele aus vielfältigen Gründen, nämlich sozial, unterhaltungsbezogen und kompetitiv motiviert genutzt werden. Daraus ließen sich fünf Motivationstypen bilden: Enthusiasten, Soziale, Unterhaltene, Kompetitive und Demotivierte. Die Typen unterschieden sich anhand ihrer Lerneffekte und legten die Wichtigkeit der Nutzungsmotivationen offen. Insgesamt zeigt sich, dass Citizen Science Online Games nicht nur Wissen direkt vermitteln, sondern auch zu eigener Informationssuche anspornen können.

Literatur:

Backlund, Per/Hendrix, Maurice (2013). Educational games - Are they worth the effort? A literature survey of the effectiveness of serious games (S. 1-8). IEEE.

Bekebrede, Geertje/Warmelink, Harald J. G./Mayer, Igor S. (2011). Reviewing the need for gaming in education to accommodate the net generation. Computers & Education, 57 (2), 1521-1529.

Bellotti, Francesco/Kapralos, Bill/Lee, Kiju/Moreno-Ger, Pablo/Berta, Ricardo (2013). Assessment in and of Serious Games: An Overview. Advances in Human-Computer Interaction, 2013, S. 1-11.

Bonney, Rick/Ballard, Heidi L./Jordan, Rebecca/McCallie, Ellen/Phillips, Tina/Shirk, Jennifer L./Wilderman, Candie C. (2009). Public Participation in Scientific Research: Defining the Field and Assessing its Potential for Informal Science Education. Washington DC: Center for Advancement of Informal Science Education (CAISE). Center for Game Science (2016). Foldit. Abgerufen 1. Juni 2016, von [www.centerforgamescience.org/blog/portfolio/foldit/](http://www.centerforgamescience.org/blog/portfolio/foldit/)

Citizen Science Central. (2016). Defining Citizen Science. Abgerufen 1. Juni 2016, von [www.birds.cornell.edu/citscitoolkit/about/definition](http://www.birds.cornell.edu/citscitoolkit/about/definition)

Clark, Douglas B./Tanner-Smith, Emily E./Killingsworth, Stephen S. (2015). Digital games, design, and learning a systematic review and meta-analysis. Review of educational research, 0034654315582065.

Connolly, Thomas M./Boyle, Elizabeth A./MacArthur, Ewan/Hainey, Thomas/Boyle, James M. (2012). A systematic literature review of empirical evidence on computer games and serious games. Computers & Education, 59 (2), 661-686.

Cooper, Caren B./Dickinson, Janis/Phillips, Tina/Bonney, Rick (2007). Citizen Science as a Tool for Conservation in Residential Ecosystems. Ecology and Society, 12 (2).

Cooper, Seth (2011). A framework for scientific discovery through video games. University of Washington.  
<http://gradworks.umi.com/35/01/3501867.html>

Dohmen, Günther (2001). Das informelle Lernen. Die internationale Erschließung einer bisher vernachlässigten Grundform menschlichen Lernens für das lebenslange Lernen aller. Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung, BMBF.

Eterna (2016). Abgerufen 1. Juni 2016, von [www.eterna.cmu.edu/web/about/](http://www.eterna.cmu.edu/web/about/)

EyeWire (2016). Abgerufen 1. Juni 2016, von [www.blog.eyewire.org/about/](http://www.blog.eyewire.org/about/)

Gee, James P. (2003). What video games have to teach us about learning and literacy. Computers in Entertainment (CIE), 1 (1), S. 20-20.

Giessen, Hans W. (2015). Serious Games Effects: An Overview. Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences, 174, S. 2240-2244.

Girard, Coarlie/Ecalte, Jean/Magnan, Annie (2013). Serious games as new educational tools: how effective are they? A meta-analysis of recent studies: Serious games as educational tools. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 29 (3), S. 207-219.

Hainey, Thomas/Connolly, Thomas M./Stansfield, Mark/Boyle, Elizabeth A. (2011). Evaluation of a game to teach requirements collection and analysis in software engineering at tertiary education level. Computers & Education, 56 (1), S. 21-35.

Haywood, Benjamin K./Besley, John C. (2014). Education, outreach, and inclusive engagement: Towards integrated indicators of successful program outcomes in participatory science. Public Understanding of Science, 23 (1), S. 92-106.

Hoblitz, Anna (2014). Educational Games an der Schnittstelle zwischen informellem und formellem Lernen. merz, 58 (6), S. 18-27.

Holzkamp, Klaus (1993). Lernen: Subjektwissenschaftliche Grundlegung. Campus Verlag.

Hwang, Gwo-Jen/Wu, Po-Han (2012). Advancements and trends in digital game-based learning research: a review of publications in selected journals from 2001 to 2010: Colloquium. British Journal of Educational Technology, 43 (1), E6-E10.

Iacovides, Ioanna/Jennett, Charlene/Cornish-Trestrail, Cassandra/Cox, Anna L. (2013). Do Games Attract or Sustain Engagement in Citizen Science?: A Study of Volunteer Motivations. In CHI '13 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems (S. 1101-1106).

Jennett, Charlene/Kloetzer, Laure/Schneider, Daniel/Iacovides, Ioanna/Cox, Anna L./Gold, Margaret/Fuchs, Brian/Eveleigh, Alexandra/Mathieu, Kathleen/Ajani, Zoya/Talsi, Yasmin (2016). Motivations, learning and creativity in online citizen science. JCOM 15 (03), A05.Ke, Fengfeng (2009). A qualitative meta-analysis of computer games as learning tools. Handbook of research on effective electronic gaming in education, 1, S. 1-32.

Khatib, Firas/DiMaio, Frank/Contenders Group/Foldit Void Crushers Group/Cooper, Seth/Kazmierczyk, Maciej/Baker, David (2011). Crystal structure of a monomeric retroviral protease solved by protein folding game players. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology, 18 (10), S. 1175-1177.

Kruger, Justin/David Dunning (1999). Unskilled and Unaware of it: How Difficulties in Recognizing One's Own Incompetence Lead to Inflated Self-assessments. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology 77 (6), S. 1121-1134.

Marsh, Tim (2011). Serious games continuum: Between games for purpose and experiential environments for purpose. Entertainment Computing, 2 (2), S. 61-68.

Masters, Karen/Oh, Eun Y./Cox, Joe/Simmons, Brooke/Lintott, Chris/Graham, Gary/Greenhill, Anita/Holmes, Kate (2016). Science learning via participation in online citizen science. JCOM 15 (03), A07.

Nov, Oded/Arazy, Ofer/Anderson, David (2014). Scientists@Home: What Drives the Quantity and Quality of Online Citizen Science Participation? PLoS ONE, 9 (4), e90375.

Perrotta, Carlo/Featherstone, Gill/Aston, Helen/Houghton, Emily (2013). Game-based learning: Latest evidence and future directions. NFER Slough.

Planet Hunters Blog (2016). New Candidates from K2 from Planet Hunters and Their Nearest Neighbors. [www.blog.planethunters.org/2016/05/23/new-candidates-from-k2-from-planet-hunters-and-their-nearest-neighbors/](http://www.blog.planethunters.org/2016/05/23/new-candidates-from-k2-from-planet-hunters-and-their-nearest-neighbors/)

Prensky, Marc (2001). Digital natives, digital immigrants Part 1. On the horizon, 9 (5), S. 1-6.

Raddick, M. Jordan/Bracey, Georgia/Gay, Pamela L./Lintott, Chris J./Murray, Phil/Schawinski, Kevin/Vandenberg, Jan (2010). Galaxy Zoo: Exploring the Motivations of Citizen Science Volunteers. Astronomy Education Review, 9 (1).Shell, Jesse (2008). The Art of Game Design: A Book of Lenses. Morgan Kaufmann.

Silva, Cândia G./Monteiro, António Jose/Manahl, Caroline/Lostal, Eduardo/Holocher-Ertl, Teresa/Andrade, Nazareno/Brasileiro, Francisco/ Mota, Paolo Gama/Sanz, Fermín Serrano/ Carrodeguas, Jos A./Brito, Rui M. M. (2016). 'Cell Spotting: educational and motivational outcomes of cell biology citizen science project in the classroom'. JCOM 15 (01), A02.

Silvertown, Jonathan (2009). A new dawn for citizen science. Trends in ecology & evolution, 24 (9), S. 467-471.

Sitzmann, Traci (2011). A meta-analytic examination of the instructional effectiveness of computer-based

simulation games. *Personnel psychology*, 64 (2), S. 489-528. Taylor, T. L. (2009). *The Assemblage of Play*. *Games and Culture*, 4 (4), S. 331-339.

Tobias, Sigmund/Fletcher, J. D/Dai, Dávid Y./Wind, Alexander P. (2011). Review of research on computer games. *Computer games and instruction*, 127, S. 222.

Westera, Wim/Nadolski, Rob J./Hummel, Hans G./Wopereis, Iwan G. (2008). Serious games for higher education: a framework for reducing design complexity. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 24 (5), S. 420-432.

Wiggins, Andrea/Crowston, Kevin (2011). From conservation to crowdsourcing: A typology of citizen science. In *System Sciences (HICSS), 2011 44th Hawaii International Conference on* (S. 1-10). IEEE.  
[www.ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpls/abs\\_all.jsp?arnumber=5718708](http://www.ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpls/abs_all.jsp?arnumber=5718708)

Wilderman, Candie C. (2007). Models of community science: design lessons from the field. In *Citizen Science Toolkit Conference/McEver, C./Bonney, Rick/Dickinson, Janis/Kelling, Steve/Rosenberg, Kenneth/Shirk, Jennifer* (Eds.), Cornell Laboratory of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY. Wouters, Pieter/van Nimwegen, Christof/van Oostendorp, Herre/van der Spek, Erik D. (2013). A meta-analysis of the cognitive and motivational effects of serious games. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 105 (2), S. 249-265.

Wu, Jen-Her/Wang, Shu Ching/Tsai, Ho-Huang (2010). Falling in love with online games: The uses and gratifications perspective. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 26 (6), S. 1862-1871.

Yee, Nick (2006). Motivations for Play in Online Games. *CyberPsychology & Behavior*, 9 (6), S. 772-775.

Zimmerman, Eric/Salen, Katie (2004). *Rules of play: Game design fundamentals*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Zyda, Michael (2005). From visual simulation to virtual reality to games. *Computer*, 38 (9), S. 25-32.